

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/607,126	06/29/2000	David Moy	0064738-0040	8491
	590 01/06/2004		EXAMINER	
KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			HENDRICKSON, STUART L	
919 THIRD A	VENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10022		1754	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary  -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—effect of reply school of Reply SAMPTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE				
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—  eriod for Reply SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE		1 7 . 6 . 5 . 1	Applicant(s)	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner Liks	and Group Art Snit	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	—The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ers on the cover sheet	beneath the correspondence address-	-
FITHS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.186(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the princid for reply expecified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If No pend for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  This action is FINAL.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  Isoposition of Claims  A Claim(s)	Period for Reply			
toon the mailing date of this communication.  If the pend for reply is specified above, such period shalt, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fill be pend for reply is specified above, such period shalt, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fill be pend for reply is specified above, such period shalt, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fill be pend for reply is specified above, such period shalt, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fill be pend for reply is specified above, such period shalt, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fill be pend from the specified in the specified above, such period shalt, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fill be pend from the specified in the specified in the specified in accordance with the practice under Exparte Queyle, 1935 c.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  Since this application is incondition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Queyle, 1935 c.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  Sisposition of Claims  A Claim(s)	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO THIS COMMUNICATION.	O EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DA	TÉ
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relified not be not shall, by default	eply within the statutory mini , expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.	HS
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.    Sisposition of Claims	Status			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.  isposition of Claims  Claim(s)	☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/18/0	}	•	
accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.    isposition of Claims				
Claim(s)	<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193</li> </ul>	t for formal matters, <b>pro</b> 35 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 21	secution as to the merits is closed in 3.	
Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction or election requirement.  Population Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  All Some None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number).  received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).  "Certified copies not received:  ttachment(s)  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).  Office Action Summary  Patent and Trademark Office	Disposition of Claims			
Claim(s)				
Claim(s)	Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration	on.
Claim(s)	□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
Claim(s)— are subject to restriction or election requirement.  pplication Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	© Claim(s) 1-11, 17-5	<u> </u>	is/are rejected.	
Claim(s)— are subject to restriction or election requirement.  pplication Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		is/are objected to.	
requirement.    See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.     The proposed drawing correction, filed on				n
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	Application Papers			
The drawing(s) filed on	☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	g Review, PTO-948.		
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  All   Some*   None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received: Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Office Action Summary	☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapproved.	
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received.  □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received: □	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner.		
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)  received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received:  ttachment(s)  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).  Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948  Office Action Summary  Patent and Trademark Office	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  All	☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). *Certified copies not received:  **Certified copies not received:  **Ittachment(s) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Office Action Summary  *Patent and Trademark Office*	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
□ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received:	☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of ☐ received.	the priority documents h	nave been	
ttachment(s)  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948  Office Action Summary  Patent and Trademark Office				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Other	*Certified copies not received:			
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Other  Office Action Summary  Patent and Trademark Office	Attachment(s)			
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948  ☐ Other  Office Action Summary  Patent and Trademark Office	☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	lo(s)	Interview Summary, PTO-413	
Office Action Summary Patent and Trademark Office	☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO	-152
Patent and Trademark Office	☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	8 🗆	Other	
	Office	e Action Summary		
	S. Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (rlev. 9-97)		Part of Paner No.	

Part of Paper No. \_\_\_

Application/Control Number: 09/607,126

Art Unit: 1754

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-22 and 24-53 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-75 of U.S. Patent No. 6221330.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because using CO as the gas is encompassed by the present claims. Thus, the application claims subject matter which overlaps that of the patent; In re Malagari.

Claims 1, 4-9, 12-14, 17, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Sen et al.

This is the same rejection made in the paper of 4/8/03, incorporated herein by reference.

Claims 1, 4-9, 12-14, 17, 19, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Sen et al.

This is the same rejection made in the paper of 4/8/03, incorporated herein by reference.

Applicant's arguments filed 10/10/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Sen is deemed to teach how to make a 'hollow' swnt because no steps were taken to fill the tube; no differences are seen in the growth mechanism or product made, especially given the use of ferrocene by Sen and the recitation of ferrocene in the claims. The teachings of Sen do not constitute 'obvious to try' as they are specific and teach how to *selectively* obtain swnt. The claims are not limited to making pure swnt.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Page 3

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (571) 272-1351.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754